

TOP SECRET

NRP


DCI/ICS 85-3011  
1 October 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR:

  
Executive Secretary, CIA

25X1

FROM:



  
Executive Officer, ICS


25X1

SUBJECT:

Response to Action on C-5A Reprogramming

25X1

 Based on the attached recommendation from our LL Office, I propose that no normal, interim response be sent to Representative Addabbo pursuant to his letter of 5 September 1985. If you concur, we will convey assurances relevant to the congressional staff's concerns, as  suggests, and provide requested cost data to the Committee (with copies to ER) when that information becomes available.



25X1

25X1

Attachment:  
As Statedcc: LL  
PBSTHIS MEMORANDUM MAY BE DOWNGRADED  
TO UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF TS/B  
ATTACHMENT.

TOP SECRET

SUBJECT: Response to Action on C-5A Reprogramming

Distribution: DCI/ICS 85-3011 w/att: BYE-040/1-85

Orig-Addressee (Exec Sec/CIA [redacted])

25X1

1-ICS/LL

1-ICS/PBS

1-EO/ICS Chrono

1-ICS Registry

EO/ICS [redacted] 10/01/85)

25X1

30 September 1985

To: EXO/ICS

From:

STAT

Subject: Tasking in Response to HAC Language in  
Letter Approving a Reprogramming for

STAT

John - I just saw this & I wish I could have passed along the following before you sent out the attached tasking. I had a conversation with Bob Davis and John Plaschal of the HAC staff about this. Their feeling was that there were several "obvious" (to them) questions about this reprogramming that should have been anticipated; yet, no one seemed to have a good handle on all of the background attendant to this reprogramming. They eventually got the information they were seeking when another HAC staffer happened to be at an Air Force installation and asked the right question of the right people. Messrs Davis & Plaschal said that it was obvious that people were trying to be cooperative, and there was no perception on their part that anyone was "stone-walling" the Committee on this; it just seemed like there wasn't a very good job done in coordinating and scrutinizing this request before it got to the Committee. I'm not sure a formal response is either called for, or wise in this instance. I think the better course might be to informally assure them that we hear what they're saying, that we do try to anticipate the Committee's questions, and that we appreciate the feedback & will stay on top of these actions. Your thoughts?

STAT

85-114

Approved For Release 2009/09/02 : CIA-RDP86M00191R000300640013-9

IC STAFF  
Routing Slip

TO:	ACTION	COORD	INFO
EO/ICS			JRF 9/25
D/ICS			X
DD/ICS			no
EA-D/ICS			
SA-D/ICS			
SA-D/ICS-EP			
CIRC			
LL			X
PPS			
PBS	X		
COMIREX			
SIGINT			
HUMINT			
FIPC			
IHC			
SECOM			
CCIS			
SECRETARIAT			
FLC			
AS			
REGISTRY			
DDCI			

SUSPENSE: 1 Oct 85  
DateREMARKS: Pls prepare appropriate  
memo to D/NRO per Exec Sec  
request and send info copy to ER

24 SEP

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT  
ROUTING SLIPLOGGED  
24 SEP 1985

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS	X			
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T		X		
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt		X		
13	D/OLL		X		
14	D/PAO				
15	VC/NIC				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		1 Oct 85			

## Remarks

To 4: Please prepare appropriate response to  
request for additional information (w/copy to ER).EXECUTIVE SECRETARY  
23 Sept 85

Date

3637 (10-81)

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied